

Systematic Program Review

Name of Unit: Physician Assistant Studies Program
Reporting Official: Dr. Frank Ambriz

Item 1

Is your program (undergraduate, master's or doctoral program, academic support unit, service unit, center, administrative/staff department/unit) currently reviewed on a regular basis (e.g., annually, biennially, every 5 years)? Examples of systematic review include, but are not limited to: academic program review, internal audits, external accreditation, external review by a consultant, peer review, etc.

Yes No

If "YES", go to Item 2. If "NO", check that box and return form to OIRE.

Item 2

Type an "X" next to the type(s) of program review that applies to your unit, the date of your last review and the date of the next expected review:

	Date of	Date of
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> academic program review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 05/2005 last review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 06/2006 next review
<input type="checkbox"/> internal audit	<input type="checkbox"/> last review	<input type="checkbox"/> next review
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> external accrediting agency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 02/2004 last review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 02/2008 next review
<input type="checkbox"/> external consultant	<input type="checkbox"/> last review	<input type="checkbox"/> next review
<input type="checkbox"/> peer review	<input type="checkbox"/> last review	<input type="checkbox"/> next review
<input type="checkbox"/> other: _____	<input type="checkbox"/> last review	<input type="checkbox"/> next review

Item 3

The PHAS program does an annual evaluation of its curriculum annually. The last one was May 2005, the next one schedule is June 2006. This annual evaluation review the admissions process and curriculum content of each course. Recommendation from the May 2005 evaluation resulted in the following changes:

- Updating the on-line application with new prerequisite recommendations
- Correlating the course content of each course to match the content across the curriculum.
- Increase the senior review sessions in hopes of improving students performance

The program is evaluated by the Accreditation Review Commission on Education for Physician Assistants. The last review was in May 2004 and the next one is due by May 2008. The last review indicated a need for outcome analysis base on graduate evaluation, employers evaluation of graduates, and student attrition, remediation and deceleration reports.

- The PASP developed a survey for the graduates and employers.
- The PASP documents and records student's academic performance and recommends means for improving students performance.