



## Academic Program Review: June 1, 2004–May 31, 2005

Unit: Public Administration Program

### Part III Academic Program Review

**Instructions:**

Complete this section for the Program Review Committee recommendations not achieved prior to June 2004, as reported in Table I.C of previous annual assessment reports.

Graduate Program: Public Administration

Program Review Committee Recommendations (Numbering corresponds to original numbering in PRC Report)	Responses to Recommendations (Describe changes or improvements.)
1. Include the mission statement and goals of the Program in the University <i>Catalog</i> .	
2. Develop and implement a procedure for the periodic evaluation of the orientation and advisement processes for students in the Program.	Every 3 years a committee composed of program faculty and students who have graduated will evaluate the orientation and advisement processes.
3. Develop and implement a formal process for periodic evaluation of the graduate curriculum.	Graduate curriculum is currently undergoing a review. It has been circulated to program faculty, students, and practitioners in the field (city managers of McAllen, Edinburg, Rio Grande City, and Mission). The plan is to submit it to the Graduate Council in September 2005. Every three years there will be a review of the curriculum by a program faculty committee.
6. Systematically document how the results of evaluations of instruction in the Program are used to improve teaching effectiveness.	Currently we have a tenure, promotion, and merit appraisal system in place at the departmental and college per the HOP. As part of this we use student evaluations to assess teaching effectiveness and to develop teaching improvement plans where appropriate.